1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO 2 3 MARITZA ALAMO-HORNEDO, 4 Plaintiff, Civil No. 12-1394 (GAG) 5 v. 6 ASBERTLY VARGAS-FRATICELLI, et al., 7 Defendants. 8 9 **ORDER** 10 Defendants' motion to dismiss (Docket No. 14) is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in 11 part as follows: 12 I. **Federal Claims** 13 1. Plaintiff has pleaded a cause of action for sexual harassment under Title VII 14 against the Parole Board and Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. Title VII does not 15 allow for individual liability of other defendants in this case. 16 2. Plaintiff's claim of retaliation is not properly pleaded as per Rule 8(a)(2). 17 Accordingly, the same is **DISMISSED**, if intended to be a claim. 18 3. The Eleventh Amendment bars Section 1983 claims under the First and 19 Fourteenth Amendment against the Parole Board and Commonwealth. 20 4. Plaintiff's First and Fourteenth Amendment claims against individual defendants 21 are generic and perfunctory. They, thus, do not meet the Rule 8(a)(2) pleading 22 standard. 23 5. Plaintiff's conspiracy claim under Section 1995 likewise fails to meet the 24 pleading requirement of Rule 8(a)(2). 25 6. The Whistleblower Act claim fails because Plaintiff is not a federal employee. 26 II. **Supplemental Claims** 27 7. The Article 1802 claims against individual defendants are properly pleaded. 28

	Civil No. 10-1764 (GAG)	
1	8.	The local Whistleblower Act claim is not adequately pleaded as per Rule 8(a)(2)
2		requires.
3	9.	State law claims for sexual harassment remain as to individual defendants.
4	10.	State law claims against the Parole Board and Commonwealth are barred by the
5		Eleventh Amendment.
6	In su	m, Plaintiff's sole surviving federal claim is that under Title VII against the Parole
7	Board and Commonwealth. Supplemental claims against individual defendants under Article	
8	1802 and state laws prohibiting harassement also remain. All other claims are <b>DISMISSED</b> .	
9	The court must add that Plaintiff's complaint (Docket No. 1) and response to the motion	
10	to dismiss (I	Docket No. 20) are not a product of well-written pleadings, to say the least.
11		
12	SO ORDERED.	
13	In Sa	n Juan, Puerto Rico this 25th day of September 2012.
14		
15		
16		S/Gustavo A. Gelpí
17		GUSTAVO A. GELPÍ
18		United States District Judge
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26		
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>		2
۷٥		2

Case 3:12-cv-01394-GAG-SCC Document 21 Filed 09/25/12 Page 2 of 2